

THE KENTUCKY GAZETTE.

NUMBER 561.]

WEDNESDAY, September 27, 1797.

[VOLUME XI.]

L E X I N G T O N : — Printed (on WEDNESDAYS and SATURDAYS) by J. BRADFORD, on Main street: where Subscriptions, at Twenty-One Shillings Per Annum. Advertisements, Articles of Intelligence, Essays, &c. are thankfully received, and Printing in general executed in a neat and correct manner.

For Sale,

The noted high bred Horse

CINCINNATUS,
A BEAUTIFUL, firel hind, seven years old, whose hands high, well known for his talents as a fast getter, and his remarkable powers on the turf.

MONTEZUMA,

A full bred Spanish stud, from Mexico, five or six years old, fifteen hands high, and allowed by good judges to be superior to any of his kind that has ever appeared in this country.

A well formed BAY MARE, fifteen hands high, seven years old, well calculated for the saddle or harness, being remarkably sure of foot, and perfectly tractable.

A BLACK MARE, thirteen hands and an inch high, of a handsome form, and exceeded by none of her kind for the saddle, either in point of get or hint.

A strong built BLACK MARE, fourteen hands and an inch high, six years old, and rides remarkably well.

An elegant firel brood MARE, 15 hands high, 3 years old, of a beautiful bay, and would truly be an acquisition to any gentleman conveniently situated for breeding fine horses. Apply to

TATHAM & BANKS,

Lexington, 9th August, 1797.

NOTICE.

A generous price will be given for eight hundred sixty-six and two thirds acres of LAND, in the name of Edward Danks, on Pittman's creek. If the land has been transferred, the subscribers will thank Mr. Danks, or any other person that will give information where the said land is.

TATHAM & BANKS.

Lexington August 23.

Messrs. Francis and William
Desiring having empowered us to transact business for them, with Mr. Benjamin Jennings, we hereby request Mr. Jennings to call on us at Lexington, or inform us by letter, where we may call on him, as we are unacquainted with his address.

TATHAM & BANKS.

Lexington, September 5, 1797.

GEORGE ADAMS,

RESPECTFULLY informs his friends and the public in general, that he has opened a Tavern, in that commodious house on Main street the third door below Cross street, where those who please to favor him with their custom, shall meet with every possible attention.

NOTICE,

THE partnership of Reid & McTear being this day dissolved by mutual consent, all those indebted to said firm, by bond, note or book account, are requested to come forward immediately and settle their respective balances. Likewise all those who have any demands against said firm, to bring them forward to David Reid, properly authenticated, for settlement, in whose hands the books are left for settlement.

Lexington, September 8, 1797.

NOTICE.

ALL persons indebted to the subscriber, either by bond, note or book account, are requested to come forward and make payment to Mr. Thomas C. Howard, on or before the 15th of this month, as I intend setting out for Baltimore and Philadelphia, about that time. Those who do not avail themselves of this notice, may rest assured that they shall be dealt with as the law directs, at the expiration of said time. Therefore I am in hopes this notice will be attended to, without further trouble, as I am determined to have all my accounts finally settled by the 15th of December next.

GEORGE TEGARDEN.

September 5, 1797.

NOTICE,

THE Co-partnership of JOHN A. SEITZ and FREDERICK LAUMAN, hitherto trading under the firm of Seitz & Lauman, has been dissolved by mutual consent, on the 17th inst. Their books papers &c. are in the hands of John A. Seitz, for adjustments to whom all those who have any demands against the late firm of Seitz & Lauman, are requested to apply for a settlement, and those who are indebted to them, it is hoped will make immediate payment, otherwise their accounts will be placed in the hands of proper officers for collection.

JOHN A. SEITZ.

FREDERICK LAUMAN.

September 23, 1797.

For Sale,

TWO thousand acres of LAND, on Yellow creek, surveyed in the name of William Fleming. This land includes Davis's Station, at the foot of Cumberland mountain. For terms apply to

JOHN OVERTON,

in Lexington.

For Sale,

TWO SECTIONS OF LAND,

IN the Military range, within Judge Symm's deed, out of the following numbers, viz. 3 and 13 in the second township, and 8, 6 and 22 in the 3d township. Persons who wish to be accommodated may purchase in small quantities.

For terms apply either to J. & A. Hunt or William Wells in Cincinnati, to Col. Oliver Spencer in Columbia, or John W. Hunt, merchant, in Lexington.

(Note.) An indispensible title will immediately be given to purchasers.

Cincinnati, August 2.

Hughes and Fitzhugh,

HAVE for sale, at their Factory, near Harpersburg, Washington county, Maryland, A LARGE and GENERAL ASSORTMENT OF

46 NAILS,

which they will dispose of on reasonable terms. March 29, 1797.

FOR SALE,

An Overplot Merchant-Mill With two pair of Stones, together with a Saw-Mill and Distillery,

STANDING in Madison county, upon Silver creek, six miles from the Kentucky river. — Also, about

78 1/2 Acres of Land,

Twenty-five of which are cleared. The grist-mill will be finished in a few weeks by an eminent European millwright, and upon the best construction. The situation of the mills is well known to be as good as any in the State. The dam and race, have stood the late heavy floods without damage, and the stream continues the whole year. Any person inclinable to purchase, may apply to George Smart in Lexington, or to Robert Smart, at the mills.

GEORGE SMART.

ROBERT SMART.

July 10, 1797. N. B. If the Mills are not sold when finished, they will be let for 7 years.

Memoir Laid, September 24, 1797.

WE are well informed that some malicious person has lodged suit of an inferior quality, for a length of time, at the widow Gump's, for the sale of our merchandise. We hereby declare to the public, that we did never lodge any suit for sale on the road between this place and Lexington. This deception is calculated not only to injure our reputation, but the public at large.

JAMES F. MOORE.

CHARLES SEELER.

5 DANCING SCHOOL.

THE subscriber returns his thanks to those who have patronized him in the line of his profession, and informs them, that his school will be opened for the present season on Thursday the 1st instant, at Mr. Bradford's room, in Lexington. — Every exertion to accomplish the wishes of his patrons, will be made use of, by

RICHARD DAVENPORT.

September 11.

BAIRSTOWN RACES,

Will commence on the third Wednesday in October next, and will continue Thursday and Friday, free for any horse, mare or gelding. The first day, the three mile heat, second day two mile heats, and the last day one mile heat. There is one hundred and fifty dollars subscribed now. The rules of the Jockey Club of thiglate are to be observed in these races.

September 2, 1797.

* * * Those gentlemen in whose hands propofals for printing the Kentucky Laws, have been lodged, will please to forward the number of the subscribers they have obtained, to the Office of the Kentucky Gazette, as soon as possible, in order that the work may be immediately put to press, if the stipulated number of copies are subscribed for.

JUST PUBLISHED,

AND FOR SALE AT THIS OFFICE—PRICE 9d.

An Expostulatory Letter

From EDWARD RUSHTON to GEORGE WASHINGTON, 700, of Mount Vernon.

STOLEN

FROM the plantation of the subscriber, about two and a half miles from Lexington, on Tuesday night the 1st instant, a sorrel Mare, 3 years old, a natural trotter, about 14 hands high, no brand, her tail is docked, she has a low carriage before, and keeps her nose out, a blaze down her face, and a dark spot on her buttock. A reward of ten dollars will be given for the Mare, and thief, or five dollars for the Mare, and all other reasonable charges.

THOMAS IRWIN.

Mansfield August 14.

FOR SALE.

17 The Subscriber,

WHO is about to remove his old Rope Walk, will lay out the land on which it stands, in fifty, sixty and two thirds feet in front, and one hundred and forty feet in the rear, by out a lot on the street he lives on, the same lot including his black smith's shop, on three of the other lots will be three small brick houses, which will accommodate as many families, all of which will be sold on reasonable terms of

THOMAS IRWIN.

37 Three Dollars Reward. Strayed from the plantation of Mr. Francis Downing, on Hickdon, four miles from Lexington, on the 13th instant, a dark bay horse, eight or nine years old, nearly sixteen hands high, a blaze and tip, two hind feet white. Whoever will deliver the said horse to Mr. Francis Downing, or to the subscriber, shall have the above reward.

George Heytel.

Lexington, April 28.

22 NOTICE, to those whom it may concern.—That whereas I have purchased of Richard Chinnoweth of Jefferson county, an arbitration bond on Col. Wm. Fleming of Virginia, and have given him in exchange, my due bill for fifty-six pounds in merchandise, but have been credibly informed since, that there is a deception in the bond, this is to forewarn any person from trading for or taking an assignment on the said due bill, as I am determined not to discharge it until I hear to the contrary.

JOHN CLAY.

For sale

28 For CASH OR MERCHANDISE,

Two thousand five hundred acres of LAND, lying on the Tazins, about 25 miles from the seat of government, and about ten from Dr. Brown's lick—said land was located and surveyed in the name of Thomas Turpin, and adjoints a road advertised by Mr. Turpin, of Woodford county. Any person inclinable to purchase, may know the terms by applying to Capt. Walker Baylor near Lexington, or to the subscriber in Garrard county.

WILLIAM N. BLEDSOE.

June 19.

29 ALL persons indebted to the subscriber, are requested to make immediate payment, as one of us intends starting for Baltimore on or about the first of October next—we respect a punctual attendance to this notice, as a non-compliance will materially injure us.

SMITH & ARTHUR.

Winchester, August 26, 1797.

THE FOLLOWING PROPERTY

FOR SALE—

2550 acres of Land, on Sturgeon creek, Madison county.

1000 do. military, on Poage's creek, a branch of Trade water, said to have an excellent lick on it.

1000 do. in Fayette, near the mouth of Hickman.

The house and lots whereon I now live.

The house and lot formerly belonging to William Ruff.

Two valuable lots in Frankfort. One No. 13. The other containing one and half acres, lying immediately in the fork of the road on the top of the hill, and an excellent spring within a few yards of it.

Eight or ten likely young negroes—consisting of women, boys and girls, the women are excellent house servants.

Also the stock of goods I have on hand, which are well assorted. The above property may be had low by immediate application to the subscriber.

MANN SATTENWHITE.

Lexington, September 14, 1797.

Blank Deeds for sale at this Office.

WANTED

A person who is well acquainted with Malting & Brewing of Beer, 18 Also A DISTILLER. Great encouragement will be given—Apply to A. HOLMES.

Lexington, July 20, 1797.

Thirty Dollars Reward.

RAN away from Washington, (Marion county, Kentucky,) some time in May last, a Negro Man named LOUIS, (or LUE,) about twenty-four years of age, five feet six or eight inches high, has a hole in one of his legs. The above reward will be given if secured in any jail in this State.

A. HOLMES.

Lexington, July 15.

NOTICE.

44 The partnership of CHARLES HUMPHRIES & Co. was dissolved on the 16 inst. All persons indebted to said firm are requested to make immediate payment, and those who have any demands are requested to call on the subscriber for payment, in whose hands the books are placed.

ANDREW HOLMES.

April 16.

Warranted Bowling Cloths, From No. 1 to No. 6, for sale at ANDREW HOLMES'S STORE, The corner of Main and Mill streets, Lexington.

SHO

OF the different numbers, made by A. F. SAUGRAIN, in Lexington, and sold whole sale and retail, at Andrew Holmes's Store.

if Lexington August 8.

CASH

Will be paid for any quantity of Good Clean HOPS, By A. HOLMES.

Lexington, August 25.

OLD DRY SALT,

To be had at Mann's Store, 210 Main & 10th Street.

August 18, 1797.

24 Excellent Vinegar for sale At my still house in Lexington, by the large or small quantity.

SAUGRAIN.

6 Wanted Immediately, A GOOD JOURNEYMAN SHOEMAKER, to whom the highest wages will be given—enquire of the Printer.

September 6, 1797.

FOR SALE,

3 A Likely Negro Wench. Apply at the Printer's.

4 "GOOD 'N' SHO" "any and single named pair of payson are "any and single named pair of payson are "any and single named pair of payson are

FOR SALE,

A LARGE AND CONVENIENT HOUSE AND LOT, on Main street, with a good stable, do. Also, 1000 do. of Land on Bank lick creek, about ten miles from the Ohio. The land is of excellent quality, and the title indisputable. For terms apply to

JOHN A. SEITZ.

FOR SALE,

47 The tract of LAND on which I now live, lying about two miles from Lexington, near the Georgetown road, containing two hundred acres, it is well watered and timbered, about 20 acres cleared—the title indisputable. For terms apply to the subscriber who now resides on the premises.

FRANCIS DILL.

2 TOBACCO AND HEMP. With to purchase at their store in Lexington a quantity of

For which they will give a generous price, and a proportion in money. They have on hand a large assortment of MERCHANDISE, which they are offering for sale at the most moderate rates.

NOTICE.

2 A PETITION will be presented to the next general assembly for and a part of Bourbon county, to the county of Clarke.

A. H. 19

PARIS, June 25.

Our privateers continue to bring in many rich prizes. The Republican lugger le Courageux, captured at the entrance of the Sound, nine English merchantmen, laden with grain, timber &c. The privateer, Cerf-Volant, took four prizes, laden with the same articles, in the North Sea.

The following is a remarkable instance of bravery in the history of privateering, and gave rise to a message of the directory.

Capt. Surcouf sailed from the north-west port of the Island of France, to take in a load of timber at the Becheilles-Islands; but two large English ships whom he met with, obliged him to change his course. He now projected to take in a cargo of rice on the coast of India. In his passage he fell in with three English ships laden with that article, and captured all three, and a schooner that attended them. Finding the schooner a fair sailer, Surcouf went with his crew on board, and sent his own vessel with the three prizes to the Isle of France. He then after discovering a large three masted ship, carrying off the English ship from which he found it impossible to escape by flight. He had but 19 men and three swivels on board; the engagement being, the English East-India ship, Triton, carrying 28 twelve pounders, manned with 150 Europeans, and armed with muskets, pistols, bayonets, and five wounded. Capt. Surcouf had but one killed and two wounded. The said captain not having been provided with a commission, the tribunal of the Isle de France, adjudicated the prizes to the French Republic. The captain claims his restitution. Many decisions in former wars speak in favor of the captain. The directory therefore consulted the council of five hundred on that subject.

HAMBURG, July 4.

It is confidently asserted to be the firm resolution of the directory, to enter the hereditary dominions of his Britannic majesty in the preliminary articles which shall be proposed at Lisle be accepted in the English plenipotentiaries. The plan is said to be the following:—Gen. Heche, who is gone to Paris to receive his final instructions, is to make a descent with the army collected in the environs of Donkirk, upon England or Ireland; and general Lefebvre, with a division of the army of the North, and two divisions of the army of the Saube and Meuse, is to pass the line of demarcation, yet to respect the neutrality in every other place, and penetrate to Hanover. The Dutch squadron, consisting of 71 sail, with 1200 artillerymen, and 20,000 land of arms on board, besides a large quantity of military stores is to block up the mouths of the river Elbe and Weser. All the troops collected along the coast of the Texel are embarked in flat bottomed boats, and will pass through the Wat to the Elbe, to avoid falling in with admiral Duncan's fleet. It is this day reported, that they have actually failed.

LONDON, July 11.

LA FAYETTE.

A private letter received yesterday by the Hamburg mails, contains the following particulars respecting the situation of the Marquis La Fayette at Olmutz, and is extracted from an official report of the governor of that fortress:—

"La Fayette and his family, occupy the ground floor of the house which looks into the fields, and where they occupy three different apartments. Every one of their servants has a room to himself. Their apartments, raised above the fortifications, enjoy the purest air, and have large windows, 13 feet high. They are fitted up according to the choice of their occupiers, and furnished in the most decent manner. La Fayette and his family get whatever breakfast they choose, five dishes & a desert at dinner; a dishes at supper; Hungarian wine, &c. All the cloths and linen the marquis demands he is furnished with, without the least objection. The apartments are cleaned and aired with the utmost care. They are attended by their own servants, enjoy a good state of health, receive all the books they de-

fire, and are allowed to correspond with their friends and relations."

JAMAICA, July 15.

Quito, 6th February, 1797. Some account of the dreadful effects of the earthquake of Quito and its neighbourhood, on the 4th instant.

This dreadful calamity began at Quito, at half past 7 A. M. and lasted from the best calculation, upwards of three minutes. The elegant college of St. Ferdinand is in ruin. The large edifice of St. Domingo is considerably damaged; the grand tower was broke into many pieces, and a number of the cells entirely destroyed—the high church of Del Carmen one of the most elegant fabrics in the place, is totally demolished. The tower of La Merce is nearly in the same fate; it has been ordered to be pulled down. The church of la Concepcion has suffered considerable damage; indeed all the best buildings of the place are destroyed. None of the low buildings have received any damage.

At Facunga the earthquake began some time past seven o'clock, A. M. and the agitation of the earth continued until two o'clock, P. M. leaving the village entirely in ruins, not a building being left standing, except an arch in the great square, and a part of a neighbouring house.

The people who have perished are innumerable; the churches of St. Augustin, Santo Domingo, and la Maecred, were crowded with people hearing mass, not one of whom were saved. The whole place opened into gullies, out of which large quantities of water issued. Don Francisco Camacho was swallowed up, and no account has been received of Don Antonio Tacado, who was with him.

The village of St. Philip, which is near to Facunga, is swallowed up; in this place, here was a school with upwards of forty children who have suffered the same fate.

There are different reports respecting the village of Anbaro; it is said to be likewise in ruins.

The famous estate of the Marquis de Miraflores, on which was a cloth manufactory, all his houses, & an elegant church, are entirely destroyed, and many lives lost.

Calera, an estate joining the marquis's is swallowed up, and scarcely a vestige of a house to be seen.

Alaque, Saguaji, Pucilli, Fachuchi and other considerable villages and estates are entirely ruined.

Machache, Alacai, Amaguana, Aivay, and other villages in the neighbourhood of Facunga, have suffered great damage.—Not a church is left standing in either of these places.

The windows of Copalaco opened in several parts and issued great quantities of water; a small hill near to the city threw up vast heaps of stones.

This earthquake is said to be much severer than that which happened in the year 1755.

To add to the general consternation at Quito, it was affirmed the next day that an inhabitant of Guayaquil had brought accounts of 14 English ships having arrived there; certain it is, that a person who came thence, passed on to Quinche where the president is.

NEW-LONDON, August 30.

DISCOVERY!

A box of goods, entered at a box of tape, worth 800 dollars, brought by the ship America, capt. Bwing, from Hamburg, or arrived at Philadelphia, having been subjected to contain other goods, was opened at the custom house, and was found to contain 800 gold watches, worth near 11,000 dollars, which are of course forfeited.

PHILADELPHIA, Sept 6.

From the gazette of the United States of last evening.

A letter from New York, to a gentleman of respectability in this city, mentions, that a brig had arrived there, bringing an account that the Spanish fleet had attacked admiral Perrell, and after fighting three days and three nights, had been totally defeated—losing 7 sail of the line sunk, besides others taken. Many particulars are wanting in the above information: but it is thought a duty to relay it to the public; though it may prove a bubble, no circumstances at present seem to render a similar occurrence improbable.

A story in circulation (from London) that Simonov, the French St. Domingo commissioner, had sometime since employed

emissaries to set fire to the populous towns and capitals of the United States, which they had in part effected, returned, and received their reward, and were sent out again on the same errand.—The latter is taken from a Mr. Morris (alias Mr. Somebody) to the British ministry, which June Mr. Somebody said he was at St. Domingo in the confidence of Simonov. Mr. King is it said has been furnished with this letter by one of the ministry. It is more than probable that this is one of the many various acts that have been made use of to render the French and their cause odious in this country.

N. Y. Time Piece.

DEATH OF BURKE.

The following articles concerning the death of this man, are extracted, one from an English paper, the other from an American Paper. If the American reader was asked to say which was the American article and which the English, he would no doubt conjecture the first was British and the latter American. What must his surprise then be when he is told that the reverse is the case? That surprise, however mightful, fades when he further learns that the American paper which is thus the fulsome panegyrist of Edmund Burke, that advocate of the divine right of kings and opposer of the rights of man, is the Gazette of the United States.

An article dated Newcastle, July 25, announces the death of Edmund Burke, the immortal Orator and statesman, — that great man whose valiant and irresistible eloquence has so eminently contributed to preserve Great Britain from being overborne by that immense torrent of Gothic barbarism, which has broken down the feeble barriers else where opposed to it, and which in equal desolation and avastness, the progenies of Savoy, and the wild, ferocious clime of the once fierce and highland Iberian. All bow beneath the bliss, for the Age of Calvary is gone; and to "all down, reveal like with a pin," is become the passion of the age, to generate times.

"Cold is that tongue whose high wrought eloquence could keep alive in one little isle alone, a fire which is now daily extinguishing in the regions round by the mighty hand of revolution and assidues." Nor did he live to see it put out. The extinction of the meeting a few time before his death, must have shed a permanent gleam over his latest moments; and laid its awful hand, content, in the lab of death.

See transcript "GLORIA MORTE."

"Our obituary of this week announces the exit of two remarkable characters: of Edmund Burke, the great but eccentric orator and author at one time the redoubted champion of public liberty, and in the evening of this life sordid and determined foe."

To the Editor of the Aurora.

Sir,

I have just perused Mr. Alexander Hamilton's publication in order to avoid the accusation of speculating on public matters while in the office of the secretary of the Treasury.

From the distressed situation I was in, I was induced to affix the Vice President by letter a loan, and by another letter a certificate of character. It will be seen by the first answer that it was impossible a loan could be granted—it will likewise be seen that all he could offer was a partial recommendation to my fellow citizens for employment.—His letter is referred to as expressive of his general disposition to all men.

Charity and Equality.

Being, as will be seen by those letters of M. Jefferson, compelled to give pecuniary aid from a false political imprisonment for near two years, by reason of which I was compelled to receive money of Mr. Hamilton, who knew I had those letters, and who in the most solemn of all declarations that could be made assured me the pecuniary was meant for no other use than to forward a hope I had of being restored to public favor, to which he would aid me.—He has broken this solemn promise, and I now engage having his pamphlet, in a few days to make full and explanatory observations thereon. I have been delicate in my publication, but the knowledge by a base attempt endeavored to injure my benefactor the Vice-President, I trust that my fellow citizens will candidly bear and judge.

I am Sir,

Your very humble servant,
Andrew G. Franklin;
Late a clerk in the office of Mr. Hamilton,
late secretary of the treasury.

General Kelschke, we hear, has left the

city on a visit to his friend General Gates, in the state of New York. I hope he will learn we are in a position, a position in which he was entitled by his services, and intimate to him, for his services in the cause of this country. He was a friend that the General signified, that sufficient justice should be done to him, he has no mention of receiving any pecuniary reward from the United States; but that, in his present circumstances, he should not decline the acceptance of what appeared to be his due. He believed that with principal aid thereof, his pay will amount to less than 10 or 20,000 dollars, as the general entered into the service as chief of engineers, in October, 1776, and remained till the end of the war. The laws warrant, we are told the General pretends to a high salary, of the name of Thomas, who was a passenger in the John ship, and of whom he had received a high opinion.

NORFOLK, August 24.

Extract of a letter from Commodore BARNEY to his friend in this town dated Medula at sea, August 21st, 1797.

"After seven months blockade by the enemy, I have at length escaped their vigilance. The moment they reduced the number of their ships to watch my movements (from twelve eight, six, and until within these few days five ships to two)—that is one ship of the line and a frigate (I was determined to pull out yesterday, although I saw them both to the southward); the winds were light but we have lost sight of them in the night. So ends a blockade which has cost the enemy upwards of 800,000 dollars, and has been of no real injury to France. I hope now to give a good account of ourselves;—and was on equal terms with them in point of force, they should have little to boast of."

The public, I presume, has seen a piece inserted by Benjamin S. Gore and Joseph Fenwick, in the Kentucky Gazette, with the design of injuring me, in the tale of my hands. Their publication (inasmuch as it is) has not, as asserted, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that I am apprehensive my silence might be construed into a confession of their charges and an acknowledgment of their claim.—Now I do assert that I never sold land to Daniel Brodhead, nor can he legally claim a lot of property whose name he legally claims, but that

LEXINGTON:

Wednesday, September 27, 1797.

Died in this town on Saturday last a negro boy the property of Mr. Thompson, of the site of a mad dog. He was bitten about two months ago, on the eye brow—the wound healed up, and about a week before he died, was seized with a pain in the part where he was bitten, which moved into the eye, and from that into his throat, where it continued until his death. No symptoms of a hydrophobia appeared, nor was he much out of his senses.

On Monday evening last M. Laffelard, a French gentleman, raised an air balloon in this town; it was about ten feet in diameter, it ascended about 6 o'clock in the evening from M. Laffelard's house on High Street, passed over the town and after going about a mile without untied took air and intirely continued.

I WILL exchange my horse and lot, in Louisville, for heavy young negroes, and approved bonds.

R. DICKINSON.
Geography, Sept. 25, 1797. 6c

THE SUSPECTS
BEING commissioned AUCTIONEERS to sell the United States land leave to inform the public, they are in a situation to inform them, in the sales of any kinds of goods or property, either by public or private disposition.

Who have for sale two lots of ground—One on the day-care corner of second and Lincoln streets, on which is a good two story framed dwelling house and out outhouses—The other a vacant lot, on the south-west corner of said street—Montgomery Street, 60 feet, and on Second, 125 feet, at present occupied by Mr. Allen, who will show the premises.
At Lexington, September 25, 1797.

WILLIAM REED,
CHAIR MAKER

WISHES to inform his friends and the public in general, that he has recommenced the chair making business, at his shop on Main Street, next door to lawyer Hughes's and Capt. John Postlewait's, where any person favoring him with their custom may depend upon having their work well done, and on the shortest notice. I would take it as about fifteen or sixteen years of age, to learn the chair making and house painting business.

John McDowell and Daniel Warkentin Plaintiffs,
AGAINST
Christopher Bryant, Jacob Myers, Edward Worth, John, William Vach, Elizabeth Vach, Thomas Roberts, William Sigward and Mary Sigward Defendants.

In Chancery.
The defendants not having entered their appearance agreeable to law and the rules of this court, and it appearing to the satisfaction of the court that they are not inhabitants of this state; on the motion of the complainants by their counsel, it is ordered that the said defendants do appear here on the 18th day of November next, and answer the bill of complaint, and that a copy of this order be forthwith published in the Kentucky Gazette for two months successively, and that Sunday immediately after divine service, at the Presbyterian meeting-house in the town of Lexington, and at any other copy of said order be put at the door of the court-house in the town of Lexington.
(A Copy) To be
Lect. Foll. C. F. C.

Franklin District (to wit)
August Term, 1797.

John Patrick, Complainant,
AGAINST
John Wiggins, and McCannell's heirs et al. Defendants.

IN CHANCERY.

ON the motion of the Complainant by his counsel, and it appearing to the court that the order to advertise, formerly issued, has not been published agreeable to law, and the said Complainant appearing to be no inhabitant of this state, having also failed to enter his appearance hereon agreeable to a rule of court—It is therefore ordered, that the said defendant do appear here on the third day of the next December term of this court, and answer the bill of complaint—and that a copy of this order be inserted in the Kentucky Gazette for two months successively, and published at the door of Hickman's meeting-house on one Sunday immediately after divine service, and at the front door of the state-house in the town of Frankfort.
(A Copy) To be
Willis Lee, C. F. D.

Blank Bills of exchange, for sale at this Office.

Public Notices.

HAVING obtained from the county court of Bourbon, an order appointing commissioners to perpetuate, testify any respecting an air tree, marked F, being the beginning corner of my entry, for 400 acres made with the surveyor of the then county of Fayette, on the 9th day of January 1793, upon two treasury warrants, No. 9119 and 9118, on the dividing ridge between Lexington's fork of Licking, and the salt spring fork. All concerned are therefore desired to take notice, that on the 27th day of October 1797, I shall by my attorney in fact, attend with the commissioners at the said air tree, being the beginning corner of my aforesaid entry, when and where, I shall take depositions to perpetuate the same, and do further acts as may be necessary thereto, and as the law may authorize.

A. B. HOSKINS, C. K.

NOTICE.
THAT whereas John Hickman, the 25th day of April 1796, made an entry of 2000 acres in Kentucky, by virtue of a warrant for military services performed by him in the late war, lying on the head of Little Kentucky and Bartlett's Fork, a branch of Brennin's creek, running in on the north side to include the head branches of both creeks:—and the county court of Shelby at their July term, appointed certain commissioners to ascertain and prove the special calls in said entry, under the act of assembly in that case made and provided. This is therefore to notify all persons concerned, that I will attend with said commissioners, in the said entry, on Tuesday the 7th day of November next, in order to take the depositions of witnesses to ascertain the special calls in said entry, and to do whatever else the said commissioners shall deem necessary to perpetuate the said claim, agreeable to the said act of assembly.

CHARLES LYNCH, }
JOHN HICKMAN, }

September 19, 1797.

Wm. Patton.
N. B. He had a horse lock round one of his legs when we went away. W. P.
September 19, 1797. 3c

NOTICE.
COMMISSIONERS appointed by an order of the court of Lincoln county will attend on Thursday the 30th of October next, if fair, if not, the next fair day, to take the depositions of witnesses, and to perpetuate testimony respecting the following entry, viz. "Michael Mires enters 550 acres on a treasury warrant, No. 4838, on the waters of Otter creek, about three miles from John May's entry of 2000 acres, at the beginning of said land on a Spania and White oak, marked 11, MC."

MICHAEL MIRES.

Ten Dollars Reward.

RAN away on the 25th of this instant, a negro man, named CESSARY, about five feet eight or nine inches high, twenty-four years of age, yellow complexion; apt to get drunk, broad shoulders, his thighs and legs rather small; he was formerly the property of Nicholas Lewis, when living in Lexington; I expect he will endeavor to pass for a free man; he sings and dances well; I expect he will endeavor to cross the Ohio. Any person who will bring said negro to me in Lincoln county, or secure him in that I get him first, receive the above reward, and reasonable expenses.

AND up by the subscriber, near Maj. Henry's mill, Scott county, two bullocks, about three years old, one is a bay, 14 hands high, no mark or brand, appraised to \$8. The other is a black, 13 hands and a half high, no natural marks, branded on each buttock with a three barred stirrup iron, appraised to 7l, 10s.

JACOB EULASS.

June 24.
AND up by the subscriber, on Brush creek, near Capt. Henry Wilson's, a black horse, twelve years old, thirteen hands and a half high, branded on the near shoulder thus: 14. Some saddle spots, trots naturally heavy main and tail—appraised to 9l.

Henry Banta.

July 28, 1797.
WHEREAS, I am about to remove to Shelby county, my wife Mary Prewitt refusing to go with me, I caution all persons crediting her on my account, as I will not pay any debts of her contracting.

Michael Prewitt.
September 20, 1797.

Take Notice.

THAT the twenty third day of October next I will attend with Commissioners appointed by the county court of Shelby, at an entry made by Isaac Larue, of five thousand acres the third of February 1793, upon part of a treasury warrant number 14784, to acquire James Larue's entry, about two miles east of Jephtha's mountain, on the south side, and beginning one mile West of his south-west corner; and there to take on oath the depositions of landry witnesses to ascertain the special calls of said entry and to do such other things in the premises, as the said commissioners are authorized to do by the act of assembly in the case made and provided.

ISAAC LARUE.

TAKE NOTICE.

THE administration taken on the estate of John May dec. by T. David Kols, is superseded, by the qualifying of the executor, named by the will of the said deceased. Therefore all those who have any demands against the said estate, and have not already delivered them to the late administrator, will forward them to Mr. Richard Stephens of Nelson county, that arrangements may be made to discharge them. All those who may be indebted to the said estate, will please to pay the same to the said Stephens, whom I have appointed my agent in the state of Kentucky.

THOMAS LEWIS,

Acting in behalf of the Executor.
July 18, 1797. 4w

Taken up by the subscriber living on the dry fork of Whipperwill, a branch of Red river in Logan county, a bay horse, six years old, with a large star and very small felp, both hind feet white, his tail docked and bobbed, blind of one eye, and branded thus, A on the near shoulder and off buttock, a natural trotter, appraised to twenty five pounds.

David Caldwell.

Taken up by the subscriber living on Sugar creek, Garrard county, a bay mare and colt, the mare is a natural pacer, branded on the near jaw, with a heart and an O in it, judged to be four-year hands and a half high, very old. The colt is a horse, a natural trotter, no mark, fished the mare when taken up, the mare and colt appraised to five pounds.

Joshua Hutcherson.

Sept. 25

NOTICE.

WHEREAS I passed my note of hand to William Harrison, and payable to no other person but the said Harrison, for two hundred and ninety pounds eleven shillings and four pence, I now forewarn any person from taking any assignment on the said note, bearing date the 2d day of September 1797, as I am determined not to pay it until such time I am compelled by law, as I have accounts of his and bonds against the said Harrison, sufficient to discharge the note.

George Hamblison.

Cynthiana, Sept. 22, 1797.

NOTICE.

I hereby given to all persons claiming lands on Pittman's creek near the mouth, in Green county, that I shall attend on the twenty fourth day of October next, with the commissioners, in order to take depositions respecting a certain tract of land on Pittman's creek, near Green river, granted to col. John Harvie and Christopher Clark, at a certain spring about two miles and a half from Pittman's station, the said spring is near the Cumberland old trail, and within one hundred yards of a marked forked chestnut tree, which tree is the beginning of said land.

Micajah Clark.

Attorney for Christopher Clark.
Sept. 23.

Taken up by the subscriber, living on the town fork of Elkhorh, a dark bay horse about 14 hands high, nine years old, branded on the left side of the neck and shoulder thus, N, and on the near shoulder M8 in a piece, not very legible, some saddle marks, trots & paces appraised to 15l.

THOMAS REEK.

July 10.

NICHOLAS BRIGHT.

BOOT



AND SHOE

MANUFACTURE

TRADE

RETURNS his thanks to his customers for their past favor, and hopes by his attention to business, to merit them in future. He begs leave to inform the public in general that he has removed his shop to face the public square, two doors from McClellan's tavern—where he continues to carry on the above business, in all its branches. He will give generous wages to three or four good journey-men.

Lexington, September 18.

Taken up by the subscriber in the county of Clarke, near the mouth of Boone creek, a gray mare, about fourteen hands high, no brand perceptible except on the off thigh the hair a cut lately in a kind of a circle, the legs and face is of a darker colour than the body, judged to be four years old last spring; appraised to 35 dollars.

JOHN BULLHE.

January 18, 1796.

WHEREAS, LEBLANC & COMPANY, John Downes, Stephen Head, John Morell, John Landon, Joseph Martin and John Sever, Auctors, who were appointed commissioners by the state of Georgia, for the purpose of examining the quantity, quality and circumstance of the lands lying in the Great bend of the Tennessee river, did, by virtue and under the authority of a law passed by the authority of the said state, on the 27th day of February 1784, issue a number of warrants of survey for lands in the said bend, to persons who attended them, on their route down the Tennessee river, in autumn 1785, and to sundry other citizens:

And whereas the said state of Georgia, on the 24th day of January, in the year of our Lord 1795, did give and grant unto Zachariah Cox and Athanas Maher, and their associates, called the TENNESSEE COMPANY, their heirs and assigns forever, in fee simple as tenants in common, and not as joint tenants, ALL THAT TRACT OF LAND, including islands, situate, lying and being within the following boundaries, that is to say—BEGINNING at the mouth of Bear creek, on the south side of the Tennessee river—thence up the said creek to the most southern source thereof—thence a due south course to the latitude of 34 degrees and 10 minutes, north of the equator—thence a due east course, one hundred and twenty miles—thence a due north course, at the Great Tennessee river—thence up the middle of the said river, to the northern boundary line of this state—thence a due west course along the said line, to where it intersects the Great Tennessee river, below the Muscle Shoals—thence up said river to the place of beginning; together with all and singular the rights, members and appurtenances whatsoever, to the said parcel of land, being, belonging, or in anywise appertaining; to hold to them, the said Zachariah Cox and Athanas Maher, and their associates, called the TENNESSEE COMPANY, their heirs and assigns forever, in fee simple, as tenants in common, and not as joint tenants, as in and by the said recited grant, including the whole of the lands lying within the said bend of the Tennessee river.

This is to give notice that there is ample provision made for the said warrants, in the said Tennessee Company's purchase, and the holders of them, on application to John Maclin Esq. who acts as agent to the business, may, by complying with the requisitions of the afore said law, receive special deeds of conveyance, in fee simple for the full amount of acres called for in their respective warrants. The said land to be located and surveyed in manner represented in a schedule, to be annexed to the aforesaid deeds.

The office will be opened at Knoxville in the state of Tennessee, on the day of June next, and continue open until the first day October ensuing.

Given under my hand this twenty-eighth day of April, 1797
ZACH. COX,
Grantee Tennessee Company.

